MILD AND UNILATERAL HEARING LOSS: OUTCOMES

		RECRUIT-	CASE		ASSESSMENT		AUTHOR'S
REFERENCE	DESIGN	MENT	DEFINITION	SUBJECTS	TOOLS	RESULTS	CONCLUSIONS
REFERENCE Bess FH, Dodd-Murphy J, Parker RA: Children with minimal sensorineural hearing loss: prevalence, educational performance, and functional status. Ear Hear. 1998; 19(5): 339–53.	DESIGN Case-control. In order to assess the relationship of MSHL* to educational performance and functional status, MSHL children were assigned as cases into a subsequent case-control study. Results for children with MSHL in 3 rd , 6 th , and 9 th grades were compared to matched cases of children without MSHL.	MENT All children from same school district. Consent forms sent to subset of students based on computer-assigned numbers. Those students who returned signed consent form participated in the study.	MSHL includes: Bilateral sensorineural: PTA* (.5, 1, 2 kHz*) 20–40 dB* HL* (inclusive) with average air-bone gaps no greater than 10dB at 1, 2, and 4 kHz. High-frequency sensorineural: Air conduction thresholds ≥25 dB HL at 2 or more frequencies above 2 kHz in one or both ears with air-bone gaps no greater than 10dB at 3 and 4 kHz. Unilateral sensorineural: PTA (.5, 1, 2 kHz) ≥20 dB HL in impaired ear, with average air-bone gap no greater than 10 dB. Average air conduction thresholds in good ear ≤ 15dB.	Total: N = 1218 (with MSHL). 3 rd grade: N = 565. 6 th grade: N = 350. 9 th grade: N = 303. All children in selected schools in the 3 rd and 6 th grades were invited to enroll. Children from 9 th grade randomly selected.	Audiologic evaluation: Air conduction pure-tone thresholds .5–8 kHz. Bone conduction threshold obtained if subject fitted criteria for MSHL. Educational Performance: Scores obtained from school records for the CBTS/4.* Teachers completed the SIFTER.* RBPC* administered to teachers. Data on grade retention. Functional Status: COOP* Adolescent Chart Method.	RESULTS The prevalence of MSHL remained fairly constant over 3 rd , 6 th , and 9 th grades. Bilateral and high-frequency loss increased slightly with increasing grade. Unilateral loss most common. High frequency loss more common in boys than girls and in white children than Black or African American children. Unilateral losses more common in girls than boys. 3 rd grade children with MSHL scored significantly lower than controls on basic skills test, but there were no differences at 6 th and 9 th grade. The MSHL children scored worse than controls on a communication subtest. 37% of children with MSHL failed at least 1 grade. Children with MSHL exhibited greater dysfunction than hearing children on subtest of behavior, energy, stress, social support and self-esteem.	CONCLUSIONS Children with MSHL experienced more difficulty than children with normal hearing on a series of educational and functional test measures.

^{*} MSHL = minimal sensorineural hearing loss; PTA = pure tone average; dB = decibel; kHz = kilohertz; CBTS/4 = Comprehensive Test of Basic Skills, 4th ed; SIFTER = Screening Instrument for Targeting Education Risk; RBPC = Revised Behavior Problem Checklist; COOP = Dartmouth Primary Care Cooperative Information Project

MILD AND UNILATERAL HEARING LOSS: OUTCOMES

		RECRUIT-	CASE		ASSESSMENT		AUTHOR'S
REFERENCE	DESIGN	MENT	DEFINITION	SUBJECTS	TOOLS	RESULTS	CONCLUSIONS
Davis A, Reeve	Questionnaire	150 families	Mild bilateral:	Total: N = 66	QoL	Impact on Speech and	Major uncertainty
K, Hind SB:	survey.	with children	20–40 dB HL in	1400	questionnaire	Language: 44% of parents of	still surrounds
Children with		with mild	both ears.	With mild	combined with	child with mild bilateral loss and	aspects of best
mild and	40% of	bilateral or UHL		bilateral loss:	audiology notes	40% of parents of child with UHL	practice and
unilateral	questionnaires	were sent QoL*	UHL:	N = 39	for 95 children	reported child had difficulty	management.
hearing loss.	returned; this	questionnaire.	Permanent	NACCO LILIU NI	with mild	saying certain speech sounds.	5 (1)
In: A Sound	information was	All al-Talana la ad	sensorineural	With UHL: N =	bilateral loss and	Little concern about overall	Benefits of early as
Foundation	combined with	All children had	loss in one ear	27	58 children with	communication. No difference	opposed to later
Through Early	audiology notes	been seen at	only.		UHL.	between ability to hear in noise	identification have
Amplification	for 95 children	Children's		Approximately		and quiet. HAs helped ease of	yet to be
2001 –	with a mild	Hearing	Types of losses	1/3 of children		listening.	scientifically
Proceedings of	hearing loss, of	Assessment	include	had additional		America dia na DTA di di anti nalata	studied.
the Second	whom 39	Centre in	conductive and	disabilities		Amplification: PTA did not relate to use of HAs for children with	Future studies will
International	provided data from the	Nottingham,	sensorineural.	with Down			include randomized
Conference –		United		syndrome		mild bilateral losses. Degree of loss related to HA use for	controlled trials.
Section V. 2001; 179–186.	questionnaire; and 58 children	Kingdom.		being most common.		children with UHL, but 50% never	controlled trials.
2001, 179–100.	with UHL* of	40% (N=66)		COMMINION.		used HA. 44% of children with	
	whom 27	returned.		Averege		mild bilateral loss used HAs, 25%	
	responded to	returned.		Average age of children		never used them. Main reason	
	the			with		for not using HAs was stigma and	
	questionnaire.			mild/unilateral		bullying at school.	
	questionnaire.			hearing loss		bullying at scribbi.	
				was 13 years.		QoL: Highest impact on family	
				was 15 years.		health. No effect on seeing	
				Average age		friends and relatives,	
				of children		employment, or income.	
				with greater		omproyment, or moome.	
				losses was 8		Communication, behavior,	
				years.		independence, and education:	
				, 500.0.		Children with mild bilateral, UHL,	
						and higher degrees of hearing	
						loss all affected negatively.	
						Identification: Half with mild	
						bilateral or UHL passed newborn	
						hearing screening.	

MILD AND UNILATERAL HEARING LOSS: OUTCOMES (REVIEW)

REFERENCE (Review)	OBJECTIVE	ARTICLES INCLUDED	RESULTS	AUTHOR'S CONCLUSIONS
Bess FH: The minimally hearing-impaired child. Ear Hear. 1985; 6: 43–7.	Reviewed research on 3 specific groups of children with minimal hearing loss to examine whether hearing loss causes more educational and/or communicative difficulty than previously supposed.	Articles including those who had: Middle ear disease with effusion and associated hearing loss. UHL.* Mild bilateral sensorineural hearing loss.	Middle Ear Disease with Effusion: Abundance of literature supports assumption that children prone to otitis media are at risk for delays in speech-language, cognition, and education. However, research is severely criticized for limitations in design. Hence cause-effect relationship cannot be assumed. Despite limitations, there are many consistencies in these studies. Unilateral Sensorineural: Review of literature shows UHL children experience greater difficulty with communication and/or educational progress than previously supposed. In general, they exhibit problems in directionality, understanding under many listening conditions, and educational and behavioral complications. Bilateral Sensorineural: Children assumed not to experience difficulties in communication or education. Several studies since the 1930's are summarized to demonstrate that such children can experience difficulties in school achievement, standardized achievement tests, grade retention, and speech recognition, especially when there is a lot of background noise.	Review offers evidence to support the premise that children with mild forms of hearing loss can experience greater problems than previously thought. This should be recognized and professionals should reconsider the current definition of hearing handicap. Practice to use average dB* loss to define hearing handicap is not appropriate, especially when the population described in this report is considered.

MILD AND UNILATERAL HEARING LOSS: OUTCOMES (REVIEW)

REFERENCE		ARTICLES		AUTHOR'S
(Review)	OBJECTIVE	INCLUDED	RESULTS	CONCLUSIONS
Tharpe AM, Bess FH: Identification and management of children with minimal hearing loss. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryng. 1991; 21: 41– 51.	Review of the literature on children with minimal hearing loss, their audiologic and academic performance, and possible management strategies in order to challenge the view that children with minimal hearing loss exhibit few, if any, handicaps and require no special assistance in academic settings.	Unilateral sensorineural, Flat bilateral sensorineural: 15– 25 dB* between 0.5 and 4 kHz*. Conductive hearing loss secondary to middle ear effusion: 10–50 dB. High frequency bilateral sensorineural: <15dB between 0.5–2kHz dropping in the higher frequencies to varying degrees.	Children UHL* have greater difficulty with communicative skills and educational progress than previously supposed. Children with mild bilateral sensorineural hearing loss have greater academic and communicative difficulties than children with no hearing loss. Several studies demonstrate that children with prolonged periods of middle ear effusion score significantly lower on tests of speech and language. Management recommendation for children with minimal hearing loss: More aggressive management. Identification and monitoring of children with mild loss before academic difficulties arise. Appropriate amplification. Possibly have sound field amplification in all classrooms (effect needs to be studied). Preferential seating in classroom. Placement in classrooms with low noise levels. Periodic in-service training for teachers.	Authors hypothesized that changes in medical care may cause decreasing numbers of severe and profound losses, and greater numbers of mild losses. Further research needed to address more specifically the psychoeducational, linguistic, and audiologic status of children with minimal hearing loss.

^{*} dB = decibel; kHz = kilohertz; UHL = unilateral hearing loss

MILD AND UNILATERAL HEARING LOSS: OUTCOMES (REVIEW)

REFERENCE (Review)	OBJECTIVE	ARTICLES INCLUDED	RESULTS	AUTHOR'S CONCLUSIONS
Wake M, Poulakis, Z: Slight and mild hearing loss in primary school children. J Paediatr Child Health. 2004; 40: 11–13.	To explore what is known about prevalence and impact of slight and mild hearing loss in primary school children Review recent	PTA* <40dB*. School age children.	Estimates of slight/mild hearing loss vary greatly (0.1% to 14.9%). Children with slight/mild hearing loss have adverse language outcomes, receptive vocabulary, verbal ability and reasoning.	More large-scale research is needed to better address prevalence of mild hearing loss and its impact on language, learning and quality of life.
	articles related to prevalence of hearing loss and its impact on language, academic achievement, behavior, and quality of life. Discuss implications.		Children with slight/mild hearing loss have poorer early educational performance and a substantially higher graderetention rate. Children with mild/moderate hearing loss are much more bothered by background noise.	Mild hearing loss does make a difference to children across many domains, especially during the primary school years.

^{*} PTA = pure tone average; dB = decibel